2644

There are no intermolecular distances shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii. Fig. 1 shows a perspective view of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme.





# **Experimental**

Dark red crystals suitable for X-ray study were grown from a 2-propanol solution by slow evaporation of the solvent.

#### Crystal data

C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>.2HS<sup>-</sup> Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 176.31$  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å Monoclinic Cell parameters from 9 C2/creflections  $\theta = 12 - 13^{\circ}$ a = 7.341(1) Å  $\mu = 0.554 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 14.518(3) Å T = 293 Kc = 8.010(2) Å  $\beta = 94.01(3)^{\circ}$ Block  $0.4\,\times\,0.2\,\times\,0.2$  mm  $V = 851.6(9) \text{ Å}^3$ Dark red Z = 4 $D_x = 1.375 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Siemens P3/PC diffractom-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0259$
eter	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$
$2\theta - \theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 23$
none	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
1917 measured reflections	2 standard reflections
1804 independent reflections	monitored every 98
1119 observed reflections	reflections
$[F > 6\sigma(F)]$	intensity decay: 7%

### Refinement

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0003F_o^2]$ Refinement on F  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.197$ R = 0.037 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ wR = 0.043S = 1.73 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none 1119 reflections Atomic scattering factors 64 parameters H atoms riding with fixed from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography isotropic U(1974, Vol. IV)

 
 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
S	0.0232(1)	0.1767 (1	) -0.0099 (1)	0.037 (1)
N(1)	-0.1880 (2)	0.3323 (1	) -0.2069 (2)	0.035(1)
C(1)	-0.0885 (3)	0.5828 (1	) -0.2253 (2)	0.053 (1)
C(2)	-0.1787 (2)	0.5008 (1	) -0.2017 (2)	0.042(1)
C(4)	-0.0889 (2)	0.4181 (1	) -0.2264 (2)	0.029(1)
,	Table 2. Selec	cted geome	etric parameter	s (Å, °)
C(4)C	C(4 <sup>i</sup> )	1.384 (2)	C(4)C(2)	1.391 (2)
C(1)C	C(1 <sup>1</sup> )	1.384 (4)	C(2) - C(1)	1.381 (2)
N(1)C	2(4)	1.457 (2)		
N(1)C	C(4)C(2)	118.6(1)	C(4)C(2)C(1)	119.2 (1)
C(2)C	C(4) - C(4')	120.3 (1)	C(2) - C(1) - C(1')	120.5 (1)
N(1)C	C(4) - C(4')	121.1(1)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, y,  $-\frac{1}{2} - z$ .

The structure was solved by direct methods using *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1991). After non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, positions of all H atoms were located from a  $\Delta F$  map and included in the refinement with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Ten strong reflections with  $(F_o - F_c)/\sigma > 4.0$  were excluded from the last refinement cycles.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: VS1009). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. PC Version. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2644-2647

# Muscarinic Antagonist: 8,8-Dimethyl-3',3'diphenylspiro(8-azoniabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-3,2'-1',3'-dioxolane)-4'-one Iodide

KARLA FRYDENVANG AND MICHELANGELO CASTIGLIONE<sup>†</sup>

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Royal Danish School of Pharmacy, Universitetsparken 2, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

(Received 10 May 1995; accepted 3 July 1995)

### Abstract

The molecular structure of the title compound,  $C_{23}H_{26}NO_3^+.I^-$ , BVT44Me, has been compared to that of the related compound 8,8-dimethyl-3,3-diphenyl-

<sup>†</sup> ERASMUS student from the University of Bologna, Italy.

1,4-dioxa-8-azoniaspiro[4.5]decan-2-one iodide, spiro-DAMP [Sabatino, Recanatini, Tumiatti & Melchiorre (1994), Acta Cryst. C**50**, 640–645]. The dioxolane ring adopts an envelope conformation in spiro-DAMP (the ether O atom being out of the plane of the ring) and a twist conformation in BVT44Me (the ether O and the C atom at the spiro position being out of the plane of the ring). This makes the spatial orientations of the substituents of the dioxolane ring slightly different. The crystal packing in BVT44Me is stabilized by electrostatic interactions and van der Waals contacts. Charge–charge interactions place the I<sup>-</sup> ion close to the quaternary ammonium group. These interactions may be classified as hydrogen bonds (CH···I).

### Comment

For some time much interest has been focused on the research and development of selective muscarinic agonists and antagonists. At present, four different muscarinic receptor subtypes are pharmacologically defined: M1, M2, M3 and M4 (Fisher & Barak, 1994, and references therein). Receptor subtype-selective ligands may provide information on the chemical and spatial requirements at the receptor sites.

4-DAMP is a well known M3-selective muscarinic antagonist (Barlow, Berry, Glenton, Nikolaou & Soh, 1976). Spiro-DAMP and the title compound, BVT44Me, are conformationally restrained analogues of 4-DAMP and have been synthesized in order to determine the structural requirements at the receptor sites. The structure determination of BVT44Me has been performed in order to elucidate the exact three-dimensional molecular structure (Fig. 1).



The crystal packing of BVT44Me (Fig. 2) is stabilized by electrostatic interactions and van der Waals contacts. The positive charge of the quaternary ammonium group is highly delocalized and the H atoms of the two methyl and the two methine moieties connected to the quaternary N atom have partial positive charges (Meot-Ner & Deakyne, 1985*a*,*b*). Favourable interactions are therefore observed between the negatively charged I and the positively charged H atoms (Table 3). Furthermore, contacts are observed to the carbonyl O atom (O2)

(Table 3). One contact is intramolecular, from a benzene ring to the carbonyl O atom (C32—H32 $\cdots$ O2), and another contact is intermolecular, from the piperidine ring to the carbonyl O atom (C10—H102 $\cdots$ O2) (Table 3). The described contacts may be classified as C— H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds (Jeffrey & Saenger, 1991).



Fig. 1. Perspective drawing of BVT44Me with atomic labelling (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1976). Non-H atoms are represented by displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. Stereoview of the unit cell (a horizontal, b vertical, c out of the plane of the paper).

In the molecular structure of BVT44Me, the ester moiety of the dioxolane ring is observed in an axial position with respect to the piperidine ring and the two phenyl groups are situated almost perpendicular to each other [ $85.43(9)^{\circ}$ ].

The conformation of BVT44Me (Fig. 1) has been compared to the conformation observed for spiro-DAMP (Sabatino, Recanatini, Tumiatti & Melchiorre, 1994) (Fig. 3). The dioxolane ring in spiro-DAMP adopts an envelope conformation with the ether atom O4 0.29 Å above the least-squares plane passing through the remaining four atoms. In BVT44Me, the dioxolane ring adopts a twist conformation with the ether atom O4 above [0.266 (7) Å] and the spiro atom C5 below [0.214 (7) Å] the plane through the remaining atoms of the dioxolane ring [pseudorotation parameters:  $Q_2 =$ 0.296 (3) Å,  $\varphi_2 = 122.6$  (6)° (*PLATON*92; Spek, 1990)].

# C23H26NO3.I-



Fig. 3. Comparison of BVT44Me and spiro-DAMP (*SYBYL*; Tripos Associates Inc., 1994). The ester moieties of the dioxolane rings are superimposed.

The conformational flexibility of this type of compound has to be examined carefully before any conclusions can be made concerning the receptor-active conformation of the compounds. The dioxolane ring of these compounds can obviously adopt different conformations and the intramolecular distances between essential parts of the molecule may change (Sabatino *et al.*, 1994). Fig. 3 illustrates how the difference in the conformation of the dioxolane ring in these two compounds changes the spatial orientation of the substituents.

### Experimental

The compound was kindly donated by Professor C. Melchiorre, University of Bologna, Italy. The compound was synthesized by Dr Tumiatti (details to be published elsewhere together with the pharmacological data). Single crystals were obtained by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of the compound in ethanol; m.p. 493–495 K (uncorrected).

Crystal data	
$C_{23}H_{26}NO_3^{+}.I^{-}$ $M_r = 491.35$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 14.615 (3) Å b = 14.625 (3) Å c = 10.330 (2) Å $\beta = 107.67 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 2103.8 (7) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.551 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å Cell parameters from 20 reflections $\theta = 38.71-44.30^{\circ}$ $\mu = 12.144 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 112 (2) K Prism $0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ Colourless
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0266$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 75.02^{\circ}$

$$ω/2θ$$
 scans  
Absorption correction:  
*ABSORB* (Blessing, 1987,  
1989)  
 $T_{min} = 0.129, T_{max} =$   
0.571  
8933 measured reflections  
4178 independent reflections  
3773 observed reflections  
[ $I > 2σ(I)$ ]

## Refinement

04

C3 C2

01 C5 02 C21 C22

C23 C24

C25

C26 C31

C32

C33

C34 C35

C36 C6

C7 N8 C9 C10 C71 C72 C81 C82

Refinement on  $F^2$  $(\Delta/R(F) = 0.0322$  $\Delta\rho_{Pr}$ R(F) = 0.0321 $\Delta\rho_{r}$  $wR(F^2) = 0.0811$  $\Delta\rho_{r}$ S = 1.067Exti4178 reflectionsAtom331 parametersfrOnly coordinates of H atomsfcrefinedV $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0484P)^2 - 40.0484P)^2 - 40.0484P)^2$  $where P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $h = -18 \rightarrow 17$   $k = 0 \rightarrow 18$   $l = 0 \rightarrow 12$ 5 standard reflections monitored every 600 reflections frequency: 167 min intensity decay: 7.5% (corrected)

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.005$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.706 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.804 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table	1. Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and equivalen	t
	isotropic dis	splacem	ent paramete	$ers(Å^2)$	

### $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

			. ,			
	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$		
	0.60180 (1)	0.92264 (1)	0.80127 (2)	0.02505 (8)		
	0.1764 (1)	0.9944 (1)	0.3603 (2)	0.0194 (4)		
	0.1266 (2)	0.9080 (2)	0.3502 (3)	0.0182 (6)		
	0.0898 (2)	0.9130 (2)	0.4745 (3)	0.0198 (6)		
	0.1325 (2)	0.9848 (1)	0.5516 (2)	0.0216 (4)		
	0.2075 (2)	1.0200 (2)	0.4983 (3)	0.0185 (6)		
	0.0319 (2)	0.8653 (2)	0.5023 (2)	0.0239 (5)		
	0.0423 (2)	0.9085 (2)	0.2213 (3)	0.0198 (6)		
	-0.0149 (2)	0.9868 (2)	0.1893 (3)	0.0223 (6)		
	-0.0919 (2)	0.9893 (2)	0.0713 (4)	0.0269 (7)		
	-0.1132 (2)	0.9143 (2)	-0.0144 (4)	0.0272 (7)		
	-0.0575 (2)	0.8367 (2)	0.0173 (3)	0.0248 (6)		
	0.0203 (2)	0.8328 (2)	0.1358 (3)	0.0223 (6)		
	0.1976 (2)	0.8297 (2)	0.3601 (3)	0.0185 (6)		
	0.2006 (2)	0.7514 (2)	0.4365 (3)	0.0228 (6)		
	0.2711 (2)	0.6851 (2)	0.4457 (3)	0.0253 (6)		
	0.3380 (2)	0.6969 (2)	0.3757 (3)	0.0246 (6)		
	0.3331 (2)	0.7741 (2)	0.2955 (4)	0.0258 (6)		
	0.2648 (2)	0.8406 (2)	0.2885 (3)	0.0225 (6)		
	0.3028 (2)	0.9763 (2)	0.5784 (3)	0.0195 (6)		
	0.3475 (2)	1.0244 (2)	0.7138 (3)	0.0203 (6)		
	0.3682 (2)	1.1240 (2)	0.6875 (3)	0.0199 (5)		
	0.2665 (2)	1.1628 (2)	0.6441 (3)	0.0224 (6)		
	0.2097 (2)	1.1241 (2)	0.5048 (3)	0.0216 (6)		
	0.2787 (2)	1.0345 (2)	0.8000 (3)	0.0219 (6)		
	0.2269 (2)	1.1275 (2)	0.7558 (4)	0.0250 (6)		
	0.4222 (2)	1.1378 (2)	0.5867 (4)	0.0256 (6)		
	0.4291 (3)	1.1661 (2)	0.8183 (3)	0.0271 (7)		

## Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O4—C5	1.409 (4)	C31—C32	1.384 (4)
O4—C3	1.447 (3)	C31—C36	1.406 (4)
C3-C21	1.515 (4)	C32—C33	1.397 (4)
C3-C31	1.528 (4)	C33—C34	1.393 (4)
C3—C2	1.536 (4)	C34C35	1.388 (5)
C2O2	1.197 (4)	C35—C36	1.380 (4)
C2O1	1.351 (4)	C6—C7	1.524 (4)
01—C5	1.462 (3)	C7—N8	1.528 (4)
C5-C10	1.523 (4)	C7—C71	1.540 (4)

C5C6 C21C26 C21C22 C22C23	1.529 (4) 1.391 (4) 1.397 (4) 1.386 (5)	N8—C81 N8—C82 N8—C9 C9—C72	1.499 (4) 1.506 (4) 1.525 (4) 1.529 (4)
C23—C24 C24—C25 C25—C26	1.385 (5) 1.377 (5) 1.396 (5)	C9—C10 C71—C72	1.534 (4) 1.557 (4)
$C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{3} = C_{21}$ $O_{4} = C_{3} = C_{21}$ $O_{4} = C_{3} = C_{21}$ $C_{21} = C_{3} = C_{22}$ $C_{21} = C_{3} = C_{22}$ $O_{2} = C_{2} = O_{1}$ $O_{2} = C_{2} = C_{3}$ $O_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$ $O_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$ $O_{2} = C_{2} = O_{1} = C_{3}$ $O_{4} = C_{5} = O_{1}$ $O_{4} = C_{5} = C_{10}$ $O_{1} = C_{5} = C_{10}$ $O_{1} = C_{5} = C_{10}$ $O_{1} = C_{5} = C_{10}$ $C_{2} = C_{21} = C_{22}$ $C_{2} = C_{21} = C_{23}$ $C_{2} = C_{22} = C_{21}$ $C_{2} = C_{22} = C_{21}$	107.6 (2) $108.5 (2)$ $109.4 (2)$ $114.7 (2)$ $101.2 (2)$ $109.7 (2)$ $112.5 (2)$ $123.0 (3)$ $128.7 (3)$ $108.3 (2)$ $108.3 (2)$ $108.4 (2)$ $103.9 (2)$ $107.9 (2)$ $110.1 (2)$ $113.4 (2)$ $108.2 (2)$ $113.0 (2)$ $113.0 (2)$ $119.7 (3)$ $121.6 (3)$ $119.8 (3)$ $120.4 (3)$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{32} = C_{31} = C_{30} \\ C_{32} = C_{31} = C_{30} \\ C_{34} = C_{33} = C_{33} \\ C_{34} = C_{33} = C_{33} \\ C_{35} = C_{34} = C_{33} \\ C_{35} = C_{34} = C_{33} \\ C_{35} = C_{36} = C_{31} \\ C_{7} = C_{6} = C_{7} \\ C_{81} = C_{82} \\ C_{81} = N_{8} = C_{9} \\ C_{81} = N_{8} = C_{7} \\ C_{82} = N_{8} = C_{7} \\ C_{82} = N_{8} = C_{7} \\ C_{82} = N_{8} = C_{7} \\ C_{83} = C_{72} \\ C_{72} = C_{10} \\ C_{72} = C_{72} \\ C_{73} = C_{73} \\ C_{73} = $	124.1 (3) 124.1 (3) 116.7 (3) 120.5 (3) 119.9 (3) 120.6 (3) 120.6 (3) 120.1 (3) 111.7 (2) 109.2 (2) 113.6 (2) 102.2 (2) 106.0 (2) 114.7 (2) 115.2 (2) 108.9 (2) 100.4 (2) 102.2 (2) 109.9 (2) 109.9 (2) 109.9 (3) 111.3 (3) 114.1 (3)
C25—C24—C23 C24—C25—C26 C21—C26—C25	119.9 (3) 120.4 (3) 119.7 (3)	C7—C71—C72 C9—C72—C71	105.0 (2) 104.7 (2)
01-C2-C3-O4 C2-C3-O4-C5 C3-O4-C5-O1 O4-C5-O1-C2 C5-O1-C2-C3	-10.8 (3) 27.2 (3) -33.3 (3) 25.9 (3) -8.9 (3)	04C3C21C22 04C3C31C32 01C5C6C7 01C5C10C9	-43.9 (3) 136.6 (3) -81.1 (3) 82.6 (3)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

<b>D 11</b>	D 11		<b>D</b> 4		
D—H···A	<i>D</i> —н	$\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$	
C10—H102· · ·O2'	1.06 (5)	2.55 (5)	3.513 (4)	152 (4)	
C7—H7· · ·I	1.02 (5)	2.89 (5)	3.847 (3)	157 (4)	
C71—H711····I <sup>ii</sup>	0.97 (5)	3.06 (5)	4.005 (3)	163 (4)	
C82—H823····I <sup>III</sup>	0.98 (5)	2.98 (5)	3.933 (4)	167 (4)	
C24—H24····I <sup>iv</sup>	0.94 (6)	3.25 (5)	4.022 (3)	140 (4)	
C36—H36· · · I <sup>v</sup>	0.96 (5)	3.39 (5)	4.213 (3)	145 (4)	
C9—H9···I <sup>iii</sup>	0.95 (5)	3.36 (5)	4.220 (3)	152 (4)	
C81—H812····I <sup>v</sup>	0.93 (5)	3.22 (5)	4.014 (3)	144 (4)	
C82—H821····I"	0.96 (5)	3.41 (5)	4.291 (4)	155 (4)	
C25—H25· · · O2 <sup>*1</sup>	0.90 (5)	2.62 (5)	3.252 (4)	128 (4)	
C26—H26· · · O2 <sup>*1</sup>	0.94 (5)	2.63 (5)	3.236 (4)	123 (4)	
C32—H32···O2	0.96 (5)	2.57 (5)	3.213 (4)	125 (4)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, 2 - y, 1 - z$ ; (ii) $1 - x, 2 - y, 2 - z$ ; (iii)					
$1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z;$	$(iv) \dot{x} - 1, \dot{y}$	z - 1; (v)	1 - x, 2 - y	y, 1 - z; (vi)	
$x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}.$					

The position of the  $I^-$  ion was found using the Patterson method and the positions of all other atoms were found in subsequent difference electron density maps. In the final refinement residual density was observed close to the  $I^-$  ion.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: DREADD (Blessing, 1987, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: OR-TEPII (Johnson, 1976).

The authors thank Mr Flemming Hansen for collecting the X-ray data. The Alfred Benzon and the Lundbeck Foundations are acknowledged for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: JZ1064). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

Barlow, R. B., Berry, K. J., Glenton, P. A. M., Nikolaou, N. M. & Soh, K. S. (1976). Br. J. Pharmacol. 58, 613-620. Blessing, R. H. (1987). Cryst. Rev. 1, 3-58. Blessing, R. H. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 396-397. Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. Fisher, A. & Barak, D. (1994). Drug News & Perspectives, 7, 453-464. Jeffrey, G. A. & Saenger, W. (1991). Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures, pp. 29-30. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Meot-Ner (Mautner), M. & Deakyne, C. A. (1985a). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 469-474. Meot-Ner (Mautner), M. & Deakyne, C. A. (1985b). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 474-479. Sabatino, P., Recanatini, M., Tumiatti, V. & Melchiorre, C. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 640-645. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473. Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34. Tripos Associates Inc. (1994). SYBYL. Tripos Associates Inc., St. Louis, Missouri 63144, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2647-2650

# (E)- and (Z)-Enamine Dewar Pyrimidinones

Hiroko Kakuda, Yukiko Takahashi and Shun-ichi Hirokami

Laboratory of Chemistry, Toyama Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Sugitani 2630, Toyama 930-01, Japan

(Received 3 January 1995; accepted 2 May 1995)

### Abstract

The structures of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-methyl 1,6-dimethyl-5-oxo-2,6-diazabicyclo[2.2.0]hex-3-ylideneacetate,  $C_9H_{12}N_2O_3$ , have been established by X-ray diffraction analyses. The 2-azetidinone ring, azetidine ring and enamine moiety in both isomers are quite planar. The dihedral angles between the least-squares planes of the 2-azetidinone and azetidine rings in the two Dewar isomers are almost 112°.